


2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 31: Support for More Trails and Bike Paths




Support for Improvement

ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	75.6%	59.1%	60.8%	46.4%	48.8%	42.1%	57.5%
County road improvements	45.0%	44.3%	49.5%	58.9%	56.1%	62.6%	50.8%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	62.5%	51.6%	47.1%	43.6%	41.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Development of more trails and bike paths	64.8%	47.2%	33.0%	30.3%	37.6%	29.2%	43.8%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	55.5%	40.6%	37.0%	28.6%	33.3%	35.2%	40.1%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	51.9%	40.1%	44.0%	29.0%	34.7%	31.4%	39.8%
Add code enforcement staff	32.4%	23.0%	28.7%	21.3%	29.0%	36.7%	28.1%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.


Question 31: Willingness to Pay for More Trails and Bike Paths



Willingness to Pay							
ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	57.9%	39.5%	40.2%	27.7%	31.2%	25.6%	39.0%
County road improvements	34.1%	29.9%	29.7%	31.8%	27.2%	30.9%	30.6%
Development of more trails and bike paths	49.3%	33.0%	24.3%	17.4%	22.5%	15.8%	30.0%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	43.3%	30.5%	25.5%	24.5%	20.2%	21.3%	29.1%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	46.0%	24.7%	24.0%	21.4%	19.3%	23.8%	27.7%
Add code enforcement staff	23.0%	16.9%	23.8%	16.7%	20.7%	23.3%	20.3%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	28.3%	19.5%	20.0%	5.6%	11.6%	14.1%	17.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 32: Support for Open Space / Parks Acquisition




Support for Improvement							
ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	75.6%	59.1%	60.8%	46.4%	48.8%	42.1%	57.5%
County road improvements	45.0%	44.3%	49.5%	58.9%	56.1%	62.6%	50.8%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	62.5%	51.6%	47.1%	43.6%	41.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Development of more trails and bike paths	64.8%	47.2%	33.0%	30.3%	37.6%	29.2%	43.8%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	55.5%	40.6%	37.0%	28.6%	33.3%	35.2%	40.1%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	51.9%	40.1%	44.0%	29.0%	34.7%	31.4%	39.8%
Add code enforcement staff	32.4%	23.0%	28.7%	21.3%	29.0%	36.7%	28.1%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

Open Space / Parks Acquisition



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 32: Willingness to Pay for Open Space / Parks Acquisition




Willingness to Pay							
ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	57.9%	39.5%	40.2%	27.7%	31.2%	25.6%	39.0%
County road improvements	34.1%	29.9%	29.7%	31.8%	27.2%	30.9%	30.6%
Development of more trails and bike paths	49.3%	33.0%	24.3%	17.4%	22.5%	15.8%	30.0%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	43.3%	30.5%	25.5%	24.5%	20.2%	21.3%	29.1%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	46.0%	24.7%	24.0%	21.4%	19.3%	23.8%	27.7%
Add code enforcement staff	23.0%	16.9%	23.8%	16.7%	20.7%	23.3%	20.3%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	28.3%	19.5%	20.0%	5.6%	11.6%	14.1%	17.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

Open Space / Parks Acquisition



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 33: Support for More Affordable Workforce Housing




Support for Improvement							
ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	75.6%	59.1%	60.8%	46.4%	48.8%	42.1%	57.5%
County road improvements	45.0%	44.3%	49.5%	58.9%	56.1%	62.6%	50.8%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	62.5%	51.6%	47.1%	43.6%	41.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Development of more trails and bike paths	64.8%	47.2%	33.0%	30.3%	37.6%	29.2%	43.8%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	55.5%	40.6%	37.0%	28.6%	33.3%	35.2%	40.1%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	51.9%	40.1%	44.0%	29.0%	34.7%	31.4%	39.8%
Add code enforcement staff	32.4%	23.0%	28.7%	21.3%	29.0%	36.7%	28.1%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

Affordable Workforce Housing



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 33: Willingness to Pay for More Affordable Workforce Housing




Willingness to Pay							
	Carbondale area	Glenwood Springs area	New Castle area	Silt area	Rifle area	Parachute area	COUNTY
ITEM:	n=221	n=298	n=105	n=117	n=181	n=126	n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	57.9%	39.5%	40.2%	27.7%	31.2%	25.6%	39.0%
County road improvements	34.1%	29.9%	29.7%	31.8%	27.2%	30.9%	30.6%
Development of more trails and bike paths	49.3%	33.0%	24.3%	17.4%	22.5%	15.8%	30.0%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	43.3%	30.5%	25.5%	24.5%	20.2%	21.3%	29.1%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	46.0%	24.7%	24.0%	21.4%	19.3%	23.8%	27.7%
Add code enforcement staff	23.0%	16.9%	23.8%	16.7%	20.7%	23.3%	20.3%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	28.3%	19.5%	20.0%	5.6%	11.6%	14.1%	17.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

Affordable Workforce Housing



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 34: Support for Additional Code Enforcement Staff




Support for Improvement							
	Carbondale area	Glenwood Springs area	New Castle area	Silt area	Rifle area	Parachute area	COUNTY
ITEM:	n=221	n=298	n=105	n=117	n=181	n=126	n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	75.6%	59.1%	60.8%	46.4%	48.8%	42.1%	57.5%
County road improvements	45.0%	44.3%	49.5%	58.9%	56.1%	62.6%	50.8%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	62.5%	51.6%	47.1%	43.6%	41.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Development of more trails and bike paths	64.8%	47.2%	33.0%	30.3%	37.6%	29.2%	43.8%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	55.5%	40.6%	37.0%	28.6%	33.3%	35.2%	40.1%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	51.9%	40.1%	44.0%	29.0%	34.7%	31.4%	39.8%
Add code enforcement staff	32.4%	23.0%	28.7%	21.3%	29.0%	36.7%	28.1%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

Add Code Enforcement Staff



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 34: Willingness to Pay for Additional Code Enforcement Staff




Willingness to Pay							
ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	57.9%	39.5%	40.2%	27.7%	31.2%	25.6%	39.0%
County road improvements	34.1%	29.9%	29.7%	31.8%	27.2%	30.9%	30.6%
Development of more trails and bike paths	49.3%	33.0%	24.3%	17.4%	22.5%	15.8%	30.0%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	43.3%	30.5%	25.5%	24.5%	20.2%	21.3%	29.1%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	46.0%	24.7%	24.0%	21.4%	19.3%	23.8%	27.7%
Add code enforcement staff	23.0%	16.9%	23.8%	16.7%	20.7%	23.3%	20.3%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	28.3%	19.5%	20.0%	5.6%	11.6%	14.1%	17.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%


Add Code Enforcement Staff



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 35: Support for County Road Improvements




Support for Improvement							
ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	75.6%	59.1%	60.8%	46.4%	48.8%	42.1%	57.5%
County road improvements	45.0%	44.3%	49.5%	58.9%	56.1%	62.6%	50.8%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	62.5%	51.6%	47.1%	43.6%	41.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Development of more trails and bike paths	64.8%	47.2%	33.0%	30.3%	37.6%	29.2%	43.8%
County-wide public transit service (RTA) improvements and expansion	55.5%	40.6%	37.0%	28.6%	33.3%	35.2%	40.1%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	51.9%	40.1%	44.0%	29.0%	34.7%	31.4%	39.8%
Add code enforcement staff	32.4%	23.0%	28.7%	21.3%	29.0%	36.7%	28.1%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

County Road Improvements



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 35: Willingness to Pay for County Road Improvements




Willingness to Pay							
	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	57.9%	39.5%	40.2%	27.7%	31.2%	25.6%	39.0%
County road improvements	34.1%	29.9%	29.7%	31.8%	27.2%	30.9%	30.6%
Development of more trails and bike paths	49.3%	33.0%	24.3%	17.4%	22.5%	15.8%	30.0%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	43.3%	30.5%	25.5%	24.5%	20.2%	21.3%	29.1%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	46.0%	24.7%	24.0%	21.4%	19.3%	23.8%	27.7%
Add code enforcement staff	23.0%	16.9%	23.8%	16.7%	20.7%	23.3%	20.3%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	28.3%	19.5%	20.0%	5.6%	11.6%	14.1%	17.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

County Road Improvements



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 36: Support for County-Wide Public Transit Service (RFTA) Improvements and Expansion




Support for Improvement							
ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	75.6%	59.1%	60.8%	46.4%	48.8%	42.1%	57.5%
County road improvements	45.0%	44.3%	49.5%	58.9%	56.1%	62.6%	50.8%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	62.5%	51.6%	47.1%	43.6%	41.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Development of more trails and bike paths	64.8%	47.2%	33.0%	30.3%	37.6%	29.2%	43.8%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	55.5%	40.6%	37.0%	28.6%	33.3%	35.2%	40.1%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	51.9%	40.1%	44.0%	29.0%	34.7%	31.4%	39.8%
Add code enforcement staff	32.4%	23.0%	28.7%	21.3%	29.0%	36.7%	28.1%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

County-Wide Public Transit



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.


Question 36: Willingness to Pay for County-Wide Public Transit Service (RFTA) Improvements and Expansion



Willingness to Pay							
	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	57.9%	39.5%	40.2%	27.7%	31.2%	25.6%	39.0%
County road improvements	34.1%	29.9%	29.7%	31.8%	27.2%	30.9%	30.6%
Development of more trails and bike paths	49.3%	33.0%	24.3%	17.4%	22.5%	15.8%	30.0%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	43.3%	30.5%	25.5%	24.5%	20.2%	21.3%	29.1%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	46.0%	24.7%	24.0%	21.4%	19.3%	23.8%	27.7%
Add code enforcement staff	23.0%	16.9%	23.8%	16.7%	20.7%	23.3%	20.3%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	28.3%	19.5%	20.0%	5.6%	11.6%	14.1%	17.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.

Question 37: Support for Visual Corridor / Protection of Views




Support for Improvement

	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	75.6%	59.1%	60.8%	46.4%	48.8%	42.1%	57.5%
County road improvements	45.0%	44.3%	49.5%	58.9%	56.1%	62.6%	50.8%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	62.5%	51.6%	47.1%	43.6%	41.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Development of more trails and bike paths	64.8%	47.2%	33.0%	30.3%	37.6%	29.2%	43.8%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	55.5%	40.6%	37.0%	28.6%	33.3%	35.2%	40.1%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	51.9%	40.1%	44.0%	29.0%	34.7%	31.4%	39.8%
Add code enforcement staff	32.4%	23.0%	28.7%	21.3%	29.0%	36.7%	28.1%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%

Visual Corridor / Protection of Views



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

PUBLIC FACILITIES UPGRADES AND IMPROVEMENTS: We would like to get your opinion on the importance of several requested improvements that could possibly be made in Garfield County when and if funding becomes available in the future. Again, when giving your response as to just how important any new improvement would be, please recognize there are always implications to providing any new service through either increased cost (possibly higher taxes) or cutting back on other services.


Question 37: Willingness to Pay for Visual Corridor / Protection of Views



Willingness to Pay							
ITEM:	Carbondale area n=221	Glenwood Springs area n=298	New Castle area n=105	Silt area n=117	Rifle area n=181	Parachute area n=126	COUNTY n=1048
Open space/parks acquisition and preservation	57.9%	39.5%	40.2%	27.7%	31.2%	25.6%	39.0%
County road improvements	34.1%	29.9%	29.7%	31.8%	27.2%	30.9%	30.6%
Development of more trails and bike paths	49.3%	33.0%	24.3%	17.4%	22.5%	15.8%	30.0%
Visual corridor/ protection of views	43.3%	30.5%	25.5%	24.5%	20.2%	21.3%	29.1%
County-wide public transit service (RFTA) improvements and expansion	46.0%	24.7%	24.0%	21.4%	19.3%	23.8%	27.7%
Add code enforcement staff	23.0%	16.9%	23.8%	16.7%	20.7%	23.3%	20.3%
Develop more affordable workforce housing	28.3%	19.5%	20.0%	5.6%	11.6%	14.1%	17.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Not Supportive to Very Supportive)

	80-100%
	50-79%
	30-49%



Lead Consultant:



Contributor:

