


2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey


In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisation & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.

Question 52: Require new residential development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development



	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Roads							
Require new residential development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	75.1%	72.5%	62.1%	60.0%	61.9%	52.4%	66.4%
Require new commercial development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	87.1%	83.3%	78.6%	85.2%	78.7%	77.6%	82.4%
Require new Oil & Gas development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	94.0%	93.9%	91.4%	93.0%	92.1%	86.4%	92.4%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale. (Little Benefit to Great Benefit)	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisition & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.


Question 53: Require new commercial development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development



	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Roads							
Require new residential development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	75.1%	72.5%	62.1%	60.0%	61.9%	52.4%	66.4%
Require new commercial development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	87.1%	83.3%	78.6%	85.2%	78.7%	77.6%	82.4%
Require new Oil & Gas development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	94.0%	93.9%	91.4%	93.0%	92.1%	86.4%	92.4%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale. (Little Benefit to Great Benefit)

	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisition & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.


Question 54: Require a fee from Oil & Gas drilling companies to off-set impacts on the County's road system



	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Roads							
Require new residential development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	75.1%	72.5%	62.1%	60.0%	61.9%	52.4%	66.4%
Require new commercial development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	87.1%	83.3%	78.6%	85.2%	78.7%	77.6%	82.4%
Require new Oil & Gas development to pay a fee to the County to be used towards impacts on the County's road system from the development	94.0%	93.9%	91.4%	93.0%	92.1%	86.4%	92.4%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale. (Little Benefit to Great Benefit)

	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisition & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.


Question 55: Require a fee for residential developers to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development



	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Affordable Housing							
Require a fee from residential development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	59.6%	49.7%	48.5%	39.1%	45.7%	32.8%	47.8%
Require a fee from commercial development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	71.4%	62.5%	60.2%	42.2%	53.4%	44.8%	58.2%
Require a fee from Oil & Gas development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	78.3%	72.2%	71.2%	70.7%	69.3%	64.5%	71.8%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale. (Little Benefit to Great Benefit)

	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisition & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.


Question 56: Require a fee for commercial developers to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development



	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Affordable Housing							
Require a fee from residential development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	59.6%	49.7%	48.5%	39.1%	45.7%	32.8%	47.8%
Require a fee from commercial development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	71.4%	62.5%	60.2%	42.2%	53.4%	44.8%	58.2%
Require a fee from Oil & Gas development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	78.3%	72.2%	71.2%	70.7%	69.3%	64.5%	71.8%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale. (Little Benefit to Great Benefit)

	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisition & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.


Question 57: Require a fee for Oil & Gas drilling companies to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development



	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Affordable Housing							
Require a fee from residential development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	59.6%	49.7%	48.5%	39.1%	45.7%	32.8%	47.8%
Require a fee from commercial development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	71.4%	62.5%	60.2%	42.2%	53.4%	44.8%	58.2%
Require a fee from Oil & Gas development to construct a certain number of affordable housing units as a part of development	78.3%	72.2%	71.2%	70.7%	69.3%	64.5%	71.8%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Little Benefit to Great Benefit)

	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisition & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.


Question 58: Require a fee from residential developers for the acquisition and preservation of *open space/parks*



	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Acquisition and preservation of open space/parks							
Require a fee from residential development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	67.6%	53.2%	56.3%	51.7%	50.3%	44.0%	54.8%
Require a fee from commercial development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	77.5%	63.4%	62.1%	60.3%	60.4%	56.0%	64.4%
Require a fee from Oil & Gas development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	86.2%	77.9%	77.7%	75.9%	70.5%	68.0%	76.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale. (Little Benefit to Great Benefit)

	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisition & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.


Question 59: Require a fee from commercial developers for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks



	Carbondale area <i>n</i> =221	Glenwood Springs area <i>n</i> =298	New Castle area <i>n</i> =105	Silt area <i>n</i> =117	Rifle area <i>n</i> =181	Parachute area <i>n</i> =126	COUNTY <i>n</i> =1048
Acquisition and preservation of open space/parks							
Require a fee from residential development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	67.6%	53.2%	56.3%	51.7%	50.3%	44.0%	54.8%
Require a fee from commercial development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	77.5%	63.4%	62.1%	60.3%	60.4%	56.0%	64.4%
Require a fee from Oil & Gas development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	86.2%	77.9%	77.7%	75.9%	70.5%	68.0%	76.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale. (Little Benefit to Great Benefit)

	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:




Contributor:



2008 Garfield County Public Opinion Survey

In the following section we would like your opinion about the potential benefit of each of the following impact fee initiatives. More specifically, we are interested to know your opinion regarding impact fees from commercial, residential and oil and gas developments to fund road improvements, affordable housing projects, and the aquisation & preservation of open space. An answer of 1 means you think there is little community benefit and an answer of 5 means you think there is great community benefit.


Question 60: Require a fee from Oil & Gas drilling companies for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks



	Carbondale area <i>n=221</i>	Glenwood Springs area <i>n=298</i>	New Castle area <i>n=105</i>	Silt area <i>n=117</i>	Rifle area <i>n=181</i>	Parachute area <i>n=126</i>	COUNTY <i>n=1048</i>
Acquisition and preservation of open space/parks							
Require a fee from residential development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	67.6%	53.2%	56.3%	51.7%	50.3%	44.0%	54.8%
Require a fee from commercial development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	77.5%	63.4%	62.1%	60.3%	60.4%	56.0%	64.4%
Require a fee from Oil & Gas development for the acquisition and preservation of open space/parks	86.2%	77.9%	77.7%	75.9%	70.5%	68.0%	76.9%

Numbers reflect the percent of respondents who rated the priority a 4 or 5 on a 5 point scale.
(Little Benefit to Great Benefit)

	80%+
	70 - 79%
	60 - 69%
	50-59%



Lead Consultant:



Contributor:

