Appendix C

Worksheets to Assist Communities Review and Update

CONTENTS:

- 1. Worksheet #1: Progress Report
- 2. Worksheet #2: Evaluating Your Planning Team
- 3. Worksheet #3: Evaluate Your Project Results
- **4.** Worksheet #4: Revisit Your Risk Assessment
- **5.** Worksheet #5: Revise the Plan
- **6.** Request to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Worksheet #1: Progress Report

Plan (Goal(s)/Objective(s) Addressed:						
Goal:							
Object	ive:						
In mos benefi	st cases, you will list losses avoided a ts in dollar amounts, you will use othe	as a result of the acquisition program): s the indicator. In cases where it is difficult to quantify the er indicators, such as the number of people who now know n actions to reduce their vulnerability to hazards.					
Status (Please checks pertinent information and provide explanations for items with an asterisk. For completed or canceled projects, see Worksheet #2 — to complete a project evaluation):							
	Project Status Project Cost Status						
	(1) ☐ Project on schedule (1) ☐ Cost unchanged						
	(2) Project completed	(2) Cost overrun* *explain:					
	(3) Project delayed* *explain:	(3) Cost under run* *explain:					
	(4) Project canceled						
Sumn	nary of progress on project for this	report:					
A.	A. What was accomplished during this reporting period?						
В.	B. What obstacles, problems, or delays did you encounter, if any?						
C.	How was each problem resolved?						


	_
Next Steps: What is/are the next step(s) to be accomplished over the next reporting period?	
Other comments:	

Worksheet #2: Evaluating Your Planning Team

Worksheet #2: Evaluating Your Planning Team		
When gearing up for the plan evaluation, the planning team should reassess its compositi following questions:	ion and as	k the
	YES	NO
Have there been local staffing changes that would warrant inviting different members to the planning team? Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there organizations that have been invaluable to the planning process or to project implementation that should be represented on the planning team? Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there any representatives of essential organizations who have not fully participated in the planning and implementation of actions? If so, can someone else from this organization commit to the planning team? Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there procedures (e.g., signing of MOAs, commenting on submitted progress reports, distributing meeting minutes, etc.) that can be done more efficiently? Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there ways to gain more diverse and widespread cooperation?		
Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there different or additional resources (financial, technical, and human) that are now available for mitigation planning? Comments/Proposed Action:		

If the planning team determines the answer to any of these questions is "yes," some changes may be necessary.

Worksheet #3: Evaluate Your Project Results



Was the action cost-effective? YES NO Explain how or how not:	
What were the losses avoided after having completed the project?	
If it was a structural project, how did it change the hazard profile?	
	Date
	Prepared by:

Worksheet #4: Revisit Your Risk Assessment

Worksheet #4: Revisit Your Risk Assessment

Risk Assessment Steps Identify hazards	Questions Are there new hazards that can affect your community?	YES	NO	COMMENTS
Profile hazard events	Are new historical records available? Are additional maps or new hazard studies available? Have chances of future events (along with their magnitude, extent, etc.) changed? Have recent and future development in the community been checked for their effect on hazard areas?			
Inventory assets	Have inventories of existing structures in hazard areas been updated? Are future developments foreseen and accounted for in the inventories? Are there any new special high-risk populations? Have loss estimates been updated to account for			
losses	recent changes?			

If you answered "Yes" to any of the above questions, review your data and update your risk assessment information accordingly

Worksheet #5: Revise the Plan

Worksheet #5: Revise the F	Plan
Prepare to update the plan.	
When preparing to update the plan:	Check the box when addressed ✓
Gather information, including project evaluation worksheets, progress replans, etc. Comments:	eports, studies, related
Reconvene the planning team, making changes to the team composition as n Worksheet #2). Comments:	ecessary (see results from
Consider the results of the evaluation and new s	
When examining the community consider:	Check the box when addressed ✓
The results of the planning and outreach efforts. Comments:	
The results of the mitigation efforts. Comments:	
Shifts in development trends. Comments:	
Areas affected by recent disasters. Comments:	
The recent magnitude, location, and type of the most recent hazard or disaste Comments:	r.
New studies or technologies. Comments:	
7. Changes in local, state, or federal laws, policies, plans, priorities, or funding. Comments:	

Incorporate your findings into the plan. When examining the plan: Check the box when addressed 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or relevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
When examining the plan: 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan:	Changes in the socioeconomic fabric of the con	nmunity.		
Incorporate your findings into the plan. When examining the plan: Check the box when addressed 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Comments:			
Incorporate your findings into the plan. When examining the plan: Check the box when addressed 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
Incorporate your findings into the plan. When examining the plan: Check the box when addressed 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria YES NO Solution Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
When examining the plan: 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Comments:			
When examining the plan: 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
When examining the plan: 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
When examining the plan: 1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
1. Revisit the risk assessment. Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Incorpo	orate you	ır findin	ngs into the plan.
Comments: 2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	When examining the plan:			Check the box when addressed ✓
2. Update your goals and strategies. Comments: 3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Revisit the risk assessment.			
3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria YES NO Solution Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Comments:			
3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria YES NO Solution Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	2 Undate your goals and strategies			
3. Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to prioritize action items. Comments: Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria YES NO Solution Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Comments.			
Use the following criteria to evaluate the plan: Criteria YES NO Solution Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Recalculate benefit-cost analyses of projects to	prioritize	action iter	ems.
Criteria YES NO Solution Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Comments:			
Criteria YES NO Solution Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
Criteria YES NO Solution Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				
Are the goals still applicable? Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Use the following criteria to evaluate t	he plan:	:	
Have any changes in the state or community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Criteria	YES	NO	Solution
community made the goals obsolete or irrelevant? Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Are the goals still applicable?			
Do existing actions need to be reprioritized for implementation? Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	community made the goals obsolete or			
Do the plan's priorities correspond with state priorities? Can actions be implemented with	Do existing actions need to be			
state priorities? Can actions be implemented with				<u> </u>
	state priorities?			
Comments:	Commenter			

Request to Update HMP

REQUEST TO UPDATE HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

Garfield County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

[Date]

State Hazard Mitigation Program
Nebraska Emergency Management Agency
2433 N.W. 24th St
Lincoln NE 68524
nema.hazardmitigation@nebraska.gov

To Whom It May Concern:

The [COMMUNITY NAME] requests the following revisions and/or mitigation action(s) to be added to the 2022 Garfield County Hazard Mitigation Plan. These action(s) will be added to Section [SECTION AND COMMUNITY NAME], pages XX-XX.

List of Revisions:

• Description of revisions, page number

List of mitigation action revisions:

MITIGATION ACTION	[PROJECT NAME]
Description	
Hazard(s) Addressed	
Estimated Cost	
Funding	
Timeline	[1 YEAR/ 2-5 YEARS/ 5+ YEARS]
Priority	[HIGH/MEDIUM/LOW]
Lead Agency	
Status	[PLANNING STAGE/ FUNDING OPTIONS/ NOT STARTED/ ETC]

Please send notification when the plan has been amended to Chad Whiting (cwhiting@garcosheriff.com).

nce	

cc: