Appendix D

Hazard Mitigation Project Funding Guidebook

CONTENTS:

- 1. Section One: Overview
- 2. Section Two: Hazard Mitigation Project Funding Opportunities
 - a. General
 - b. Federal Resources
 - c. State of Colorado Resources and Priorities
 - d. Alternative Funding Sources
- 3. Section Three: References
 - a. Hazard Mitigation Project Funding Opportunities

APPENDIX D | HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECT FUNDING GUIDEBOOK

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK

SECTION ONE: OVERVIEW

This Hazard Mitigation Project Funding Guidebook is provided by JEO Consulting Group, Inc.

The intent of the Guidebook is to provide initial guidance on:

- Hazard mitigation project funding opportunities
- Where to find more information

The information in this Guidebook is consistent with established processes for hazard mitigation planning. However, it is important to note the following in terms of the context for this Guidebook relative to the overall planning process:

Project identification includes identifying all possible options (or alternatives) to address planning objectives; i.e., at this stage, there are no "bad" options. At times, the best option may be to work with other actors in the community to design solutions that are responsive to community values while reducing risk (i.e. a bike path or ball field that can double as a retention area, or the preservation of an animal habitat that also serves as a natural buffer). These types of solutions can often be funded in very innovative ways, including solutions which increase local industry and revenue (i.e. tapping into the entrepreneurial community).

Project identification is followed by a comprehensive evaluation of possible project options to identify viable, preferred option(s) for development of specific implementation strategies. Preferred options may change as different stakeholders come to the table and additional ideas are proposed or funding sources identified. Incremental mitigation projects, in which risk is slowly bought down through a comprehensive range of actions, can be a much more realistic strategy than identifying the one best (and often costliest) solution. Project evaluation criteria include the need for and the availability of funding for specific project options along with technical feasibility, environmental consequences, cost effectiveness, etc.

Even though funding availability is "technically" part of project evaluation, this Guidebook offers information regarding availability of funding in addition to information about identifying projects. The purpose is to reflect the importance of linking project options with potential funding and implementation mechanisms as early as possible to eliminate options with little or no prospects for funding but more importantly, to recognize that successful implementation of the resulting hazard mitigation plan (HMP) will require creative approaches to project funding and the documentation of successful projects. Knowledge of a broader range of funding opportunities and mechanisms beyond federal hazard mitigation grant programs will enable the planning team to keep as many implementation options open as possible, as well as to ensure that some minimal projects can be completed in between plan updates.

SECTION TWO: HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES

2.1 GENERAL

When the current FEMA hazard mitigation planning program was formulated in the late 1990s as part of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000), there was an assumption that federal funding would be provided on a substantial, ongoing basis for implementing hazard mitigation projects. While hundreds of millions of dollars have been provided by the federal government over the last decade, primarily through FEMA hazard mitigation grant programs, the level of funding has varied from year to year and future prospects are unclear. Additionally, some communities have not been successful in their pursuit of these grants and have not seen the value of their investment in mitigation planning. As a result, while it is still important to have a grasp of how these legacy federal programs can be used to fund hazard mitigation projects, it is increasingly important to look for other opportunities.

Opportunities for funding and technical assistance exist in other federal agencies or possibly state or local agencies. In addition, alternative funding opportunities can be developed at the regional or local level with private sector businesses, private foundations, and other non-governmental organizations (NGOs). In order to fully map out the range of local and state options it is necessary to undertake a detailed stakeholder analysis – something which has not been done at this time. The following contains a brief overview of federal, state, and local government programs that may include opportunities for hazard mitigation project funding as well as alternatives within the private sector and NGOs.

2.2 FEDERAL RESOURCES

Information about federal hazard mitigation project funding opportunities is organized per the following categories:

- FEMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance Grant Programs
- Other FEMA Hazard Mitigation Programs
- Other Federal Agency Programs

2.2.1 FEMA HAZARD MITIGATION ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAMS

There are five (5) grant programs administered at the federal level by FEMA that are grouped under the umbrella heading of the "Hazard Mitigation Assistance Grant Programs" (HMA) including:

- Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)
- Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)
- Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC)
- HMGP Post Fire Grant

These programs also have a counterpart agency at the State level. For Colorado, HMGP, FMA, and BRIC are administered at the state level by the Colorado Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management (DHSEM). Periodically, FEMA issues guidance covering the administrative elements for all programs, titled the *Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance*. The most recent guidance was released in 2015 and is available here: https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/fy15_HMA_Guidance.pdf.

There are several similarities and differences between these programs, but it is important to note three distinctions between HMGP and the other HMA programs:

- HMGP is only available when authorized under a Presidential major disaster declaration, i.e., postdisaster. The other HMA programs, when funding is appropriated by Congress, are available predisaster.
- Project eligibility under HMGP can be limited by the State as part of the HMGP Administrative Plan
 developed post-disaster. For example, funding may only be made available for projects that are
 related to the type of disaster, i.e., HMGP related to a significant flood disaster declaration may
 only be designated for flood mitigation projects like acquisitions of repetitively flooded properties.

Eligible projects can include project types that are not typically funded by FEMA hazard mitigation
programs if FEMA authorizes what is referred to as the "5 percent initiative". Generally reserved
for very large disasters, authorizing the 5 percent initiative can make funding available for new,
unproven mitigation techniques and technologies where benefits are not proven or not clearly
measurable such as back-up generators, disaster warning equipment and systems, hazard
identification or mapping efforts, and studies or plans to reduce disaster losses.

Note: Section Three includes individual website URLs for more detailed information on these three HMA programs and the Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance.

2.2.2 OTHER FEMA HAZARD MITIGATION PROGRAMS

Two (2) other FEMA programs include the potential for funding hazard mitigation projects that may be identified:

- Fire Management Assistance Grant Program (FMAGP) FMAGP may be applicable to some areas
 of Colorado; the Colorado State HMP identifies Wildfires as one of the top three highest ranked
 hazard on a state-wide basis. FEMA provides the following overview of the FMAGP program:
 [FMAGP] is available to States, local and tribal governments, for the mitigation, management, and
 control of fires on publicly or privately-owned forests or grasslands, which threaten such destruction
 as would constitute a major disaster.
- Public Assistance (PA) Section 406 Hazard Mitigation Funding Generally, PA funds are provided post-disaster for the restoration of public infrastructure that has sustained damaged due to a presidentially-declared disaster. The legislation authorizing PA also includes a "provision for the consideration of funding additional measures that will enhance a facility's ability to resist similar damage in future events." It is important to note that Section 406 funding can only be used on parts of a facility that were actually damaged by the disaster; although in some cases the damages are sufficient that the entire facility must be replaced.

Therefore, it is often difficult to include the type of specific predictions in a HMP that would lead to identifying Section 406 as a prime option for funding, but it should be noted in the HMP and referenced wherever it is potentially applicable. Areas of vulnerability for particular buildings, or building types, identified through the HMP can be a resource for the identification of PA mitigation projects. Additionally, local and state staff should receive training in the successful use of PA.

Additional FEMA programs include: Community Assistance Program which assists states with the NFIP; various post-disaster funds and programs; and Assistance to Firefighter Grants which can assist with the enhancement of response capabilities.

2.2.3 OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY PROGRAMS

Although FEMA programs are typically thought of as the primary sources of federal agency hazard mitigation project funding, there are a significant number of agencies with programs relevant to local HMPs and hazard mitigation project implementation. The following indicates some of the federal programs which may be of assistance in funding certain types of hazard mitigation projects — or portions of those projects.

Table 1: Federal Programs

Source	Description	Additional Notes	Website
Advisory	The Preserve America matching-grant program	This funding source may be	http://www.preserveamerica.gov/
Council on	provides planning funding to designated	considered as part of efforts to ensure	
Historic	Preserve America Communities to support	that historic structures are protected	
Preservation	preservation efforts through heritage tourism,	from natural hazards. The City of	
	education, and historic preservation planning.	Lincoln is a Preserve America	
		Community.	
National	The National Endowment for the Humanities	Programs support educational	http://www.neh.gov/grants
Endowment for	manages multiple grant programs which may	initiatives and cultural institutions.	
the Humanities	be relevant.		
U.S.	USDA administers several programs that are	There are many different NRCS	http://www.usda.gov/wps/portal/
Department of	potentially relevant including the National	programs which can provide technical	usda/usdahome?navid=GRANT
Agriculture	Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA),	assistance and construction of	<u>S_LOANS</u>
(USDA)	Natural Resource Conservation Service	improvements to relieve imminent	
	(NRCS), Rural Development, and the Farm	hazards to life and property from floods	
	Service Agency (FSA).	and erosion. There are also various	
		rural development programs which can	
		support essential services such as	
		sewer services and assist with fire and	
		police stations. USDA programs also	
		support renewable energy efforts.	
		However, other USDA programs	
		should be examined relative to	
		identified projects to find potential	
		matches with funding and assistance	
11.0	Face are in Development Administration (FDA)	provisions.	lette e./h
U.S.	Economic Development Administration (EDA) –	There are various programs and	https://www.eda.gov/
Department of	EDA primarily provides a variety of grants,	resources available through EDA. The	
Commerce	loans, and technical assistance to support long-	National Weather Service and National	
	term economic recovery but also has supported	Oceanic and Atmospheric	

Source	Description	Additional Notes	Website
	grants for upgrades to critical public	Administration have also had programs	
	infrastructure and essential facilities.	in the past but are restricted by funding	
		at the moment.	
U.S. Army	USACE can provide a broad range of	USACE projects generally involve	http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/
Corps of	assistance under legislative authority related to	watershed level activities and long	
Engineers	flood control for floodplain management	project development and	
(USACE)	planning, stream bank and shoreline protection,	implementation timelines but may be	
	and aquatic ecosystem restoration.	applicable to regional considerations.	
U.S. Dept of	Grants support LEAs in the development of	This program can be used to work	https://www.ed.gov/
Education	communitywide approaches to creating safe	towards safer schools, taking various	
	and drug-free schools and promoting healthy	potential risks into account.	
	childhood development. Programs are intended		
	to prevent violence and the illegal use of drugs		
	and to promote safety and discipline.		
	Coordination with other community-based		
	organizations (CBOs) is required. This program		
	is jointly funded and administered by the		
	departments of Education, Justice, and Health		
	and Human Services. The appropriation		
	amounts listed above do not include funds		
	appropriated for the departments of Justice and		
II O D ((Health and Human Services.	DOF	Lucius de la LP.
U.S Department	DOE undertakes a range of missions related to	DOE programs fund weatherization	http://energy.gov/public-
of Energy	electricity and energy including improving "the	efforts, support renewable energy	services/funding-opportunities
(DOE)	ability of energy sector stakeholders to prevent,	efforts which can be a portion of an	
	prepare for, and respond to threats, hazards,	energy assurance effort, and can	
	natural disasters, and other supply disruptions".	provide technical assistance through	
	DOE works closely with State and local	the Nuclear Safety and Environment	
	governments on energy assurance issues and develops products and tools to inform and	Program.	
	educate State and local officials to support their		
	energy emergency response activities. DOE		
	also partners with State and local organizations		
	to further assist in these efforts including the		
	National Association of State Energy Officials,		
	National Association of Regulatory Utility		
	National Association of Regulatory Utility		

Source	Description	Additional Notes	Website
	Commissioners, National Governors Association, National Conference of State Legislatures, and at the local level, Public Technology Institute. Recently, DOE created the Local Energy Assurance Program (LEAP) which included more than \$8 million in LEAP grants to 43 cities and towns across the country to develop or expand local energy assurance plans to improve electricity reliability and energy security in these communities		
US Dept of Health and Human Services	The US Dept of Health and Human Services and its various agencies provide a wide range of grants and technical assistance programs.	Grant programs include technical: assistance and training related to ensuring safe water and wastewater treatment for rural areas; program to provide AEDs; and programs to ensure that rural areas have access to health services.	http://www.hhs.gov/grants/index. html
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	HUD administers the Community Development Block Grants (CDBG). CDBG funds have been used in conjunction with other hazard mitigation funding sources, e.g., HMGP, to implement projects including acquisitions and elevation of flood prone properties. However, HUD funding for hazard mitigation projects usually comes via special Congressional appropriations related to specific disaster events.	CDBG funds can play a key role in hazard mitigation.	https://www.hud.gov/grants/
US Dept of Justice	The Office of Community Oriented Policing services offers funding to assist with community policing capacity.	This program may be relevant to communities which identify crime, acts of violence and/or terrorism as a hazard.	http://www.justice.gov/business/
US Dept of Labor	National Emergency Grants (NEGs) temporarily expand the service capacity of Workforce Investment Act Dislocated Worker training and employment programs at the state and local levels by providing funding assistance in response to large, unexpected economic	Training and temporary jobs can focus on weatherization or possibly mitigation related activities.	https://www.dol.gov/

Source	Description	Additional Notes	Website
	events which cause significant job losses. NEGs generally provide resources to states		
	and local workforce investment boards to		
	quickly reemploy laid-off workers by offering		
	training to increase occupational skills.		
US Dept of the	The National Parks Service has multiple grants	These programs could assist with the	www.nps.gov
Interior	allowing for the purchase of land for	securing of land which can serve a	www.nps.gov
Interior	recreational facilities, the rehabilitation of	dual purpose of mitigation and	
	recreation facilities, and protecting cultural	recreation, as well as for protecting	
	treasures.	some historic sites.	
US Dept of	Funds support recreational trails, bridge	These funds can be incorporated into	http://www.dot.gov/grants
Transportation	replacement, safe routes to schools, road	multi-objective projects aimed at	
	projects in rural areas, and other programs.	hazard mitigation.	
U.S.	In May, 2010, EPA signed a memorandum of	EPA programs support efforts to clean	http://www2.epa.gov/home/grant
Environmental	agreement with FEMA to "formalize efforts to	up brownfields, support water quality,	s-and-other-funding-
Protection	explore opportunities to incorporate	provide safe drinking water, promote	<u>opportunities</u>
Agency (EPA	sustainability and smart growth practices into	green communities, and watershed	
	communities' hazard mitigation planning and	protection.	
	long term disaster recovery efforts, and to		
	incorporate hazard resilience into smart growth		
	assistance for communities." The intent is to		
	coordinate parallel activities within these agencies for an array of policy initiatives that		
	include climate change considerations. For		
	projects that are intended to improve land use		
	planning practices, this joint effort could provide		
	valuable technical assistance.		
Small Business	SBA Disaster Loan Program (DLP) is a	Small businesses can use SBA loans	https://www.sba.gov/
Administration	significant source of assistance for	for many purposes, before and after a	
(SBA)	homeowners, renters, businesses, and	disaster.	
	nonprofit organizations in the aftermath of		
	disasters. Although this is a post-disaster		
	funding mechanism, it is important to note that		
	loans can be increased up to 20 percent for		

APPENDIX D | HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECT FUNDING GUIDEBOOK

Source	Description	Additional Notes	Website
	mitigation to protect property from future		
	disasters of the same kind that caused the		
	current damage.		

These are by no means the only non-FEMA, federal agency programs that could have the potential to support hazard mitigation project implementation. Additionally, many of these programs are dependent on yearly funding allocations. However, at this point, it is more important to be aware of the potential for other federal agencies to support a broad array of project types. As needs and potential hazard mitigation project options are identified, more information can begin to be gathered on the range of programs which might be utilized. It will be more efficient to start with project options and then follow-up with the identification of potential matches, working with the full range of available programs and agencies as part of a comprehensive project evaluation process.

2.3 STATE OF COLORADO RESOURCES AND PRIORITIES

There are a number of state agencies and programs with potential applicability to supporting funding and implementation of mitigation projects in addition to the federal hazard mitigation grant programs administered at the state level by DHSEM already mentioned above. These agencies will also likely be important in earlier stages of the hazard mitigation planning process by providing current hazard and risk assessment data, including:

- Colorado Water Conservation Board
- Colorado Division of Water Resources
- Colorado Climate Center
- Colorado Association of State Floodplain and Stormwater Managers
- Colorado Department of Transportation
- Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
- Colorado Geological Survey
- Colorado Office of Risk Management
- Colorado State Forest Service

In addition to these programs, it is important to always have a sense of the priorities that are identified by other agencies in influential positions regarding future grant funding. For example, the eligible project types that may be selected include:

- Structural hazard control or protection projects
- Retrofitting of facilities
- Property acquisition or relocation
- Development or improvement of warning systems
- Dead-end storm structures

2.4 ALTERNATIVE FUNDING SOURCES

In recent years, states and communities across the country have sought and developed innovative funding sources as alternatives to traditional government grant programs. This will be important for current and future hazard mitigation planning efforts for several reasons including:

- Decreases in funding for pre-disaster mitigation grant and assistance programs at the federal level
 and for state agencies While technical assistance and other related support functions are still
 actively supported across federal and state agencies, and in some cases are increasing, allocations
 for "bricks and mortar" pre-disaster hazard mitigation projects will be competing with a broad range
 of government funding needs. These funds may not completely disappear, but the need will
 continue to outstrip the supply for the foreseeable future.
- Opportunities to fund projects that might not qualify or align with traditional grant and assistance programs. Funding programs seek solutions that reduce risk for a particular threshold (i.e. 1-percent flood) and meet absolute cost-benefit criteria that the agencies themselves must adhere to. Therefore, these programs, by their basic nature, are not able to support efforts that may help most of the time but don't meet these thresholds, e.g., a homeowner installed flood wall in a repetitive loss area that prevents annual floods, but not larger magnitude events that come along every few years. There is a related concept that can be referred to as "cumulative risk reduction". For example, a homeowner with limited resources (and no real access to grant funds) might be willing to spend a little time and money each year getting just a little bit safer.

The following identifies general kinds of alternative funding sources and techniques that have been employed in other communities:

Local Funding Options

- Public-Private Partnerships
- Private Foundations

2.4.1 LOCAL FUNDING OPTIONS

Local funding options are just what they sound like, using local funds for local mitigation projects. Local funds are also needed as the non-federal share or "matching funds" for federal grant programs but can also be used independently to fund a range of project types. Local funding options include the following:

- <u>Capital Improvement Programs</u> Ongoing civic improvements can include prioritized hazard mitigation projects or mitigation can be included as one aspect of a larger project. For example, improving the hydraulic capacity of a culvert or bridge to prevent upstream flooding while undertaking periodic replacements for end of service considerations is one example. Replacing windows in a school with shatter resistant glass as part of an overall renovation is another example. Capital improvement programs are generally funded with local tax revenues and municipal bonds.
- Permits, Fees, and Developer Contributions- Communities can establish fees, earmark a portion of existing permit and fee structures, and/or establish requirements for developer contributions for new developments in hazard prone areas that can then be used to fund local mitigation projects. The proceeds can be accumulated in what is often referred to as a "Mitigation Trust Fund" and the uses are typically tied to specific project types and/or relationships with projects already identified in specific plans or documents such as an HMP. These types of funds can also be used to create vouchers or other incentives to individual action.
- Force Account / In-Kind Services Although there is a "cost" associated with activities of public employees, there are a wide range of activities that can be undertaken by local government staff and officials as well as interested parties on their behalf that would yield significant benefits. Some of the obvious examples are public outreach and education for individual property owners, business and institutions to reduce their risk through correspondingly inexpensive or essentially activities. This would include tapping into available educations resources¹, promoting individual action, etc.
- Property Owners For a project that directly benefits one or more specific properties, the property owner can be asked to contribute. Through the HIRA process, property owners can become better aware of their risks and options. Owners that recognize they have a real flood problem may be willing to pay a portion of the cost. In recent years, property owners have voluntarily agreed to pay the non-federal share (up to 25 percent of the total project cost) for FEMA HMA grants in some states. In some cases, the owners have paid even higher percentages of the cost. In addition, after a flood, owners may have cash from insurance claims or disaster assistance that they will be using to repair their homes and properties. By including the right floodproofing and mitigation project components into the repairs, the resilience of the property to future flooding may be improved. Having property owners contribute to the project can help stretch available local funds and also gives the property owner an enhanced stake in the outcome of the project and incentive to make sure the property is properly maintained.
- <u>Individual Participation</u> Although mitigation is ultimately intended to benefit individuals, HMPs often neglect to integrate participation of potential beneficiaries into the process. The participation by individuals, including small business owners, is important for making sure the resulting HMP reflects community needs and priorities but it also allows for the planning team to identify measures and options that individuals can take to reduce their own risk at a cost they can afford.

2.4.2 PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS

Developing a "public-private partnership" is a phrase used frequently in a wide range of government programs and for good reason, especially in the content of hazard mitigation. Similar to the point made in the preceding subsection regarding individual participation, participation of private sector organizations in solving their own hazard risk situations can be a low-cost and effective method. The phrase also

-

¹ Several states and agencies have created resources for homeowners, some of which could be readily adapted for use in Colorado.

encompasses finding opportunities for public and private sector partners to share costs equitably for larger projects that require substantial funds to implement. Private sector businesses and organizations have their own cost-benefit calculations to perform but joint efforts may make the balance sheets work for both sides.

2.4.3 PRIVATE FOUNDATIONS

Cultivating relationships with local, regional, or even national foundations with interests or missions consistent with hazard mitigation, community sustainability, climate change adaptation, and other related topics can yield successful results in terms of funding and other means of support.

There are many local foundations around the State of Colorado, many of which fund programs that can be utilized for components of hazard mitigation projects. Many of these foundations only support non-profit organizations, so the applicability of these funds to projects depends upon the partners involved.

This approach is not as easy to develop as simply listing grants and funding mechanisms as it involves engaging a broad spectrum of stakeholders and employing combinations of funding sources in solving what are increasingly sticky issues related to funding for any public endeavor. However, as noted throughout this guidebook, the reality is that significant federal or state grant allocations for pre-disaster mitigation efforts are not apparent on the immediate horizon and communities will need to be creative, cooperative and proactive to realize risk reduction on a meaningful level.

SECTION THREE: REFERENCES

3.1 HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECT FUNDING

The following includes current websites with more detailed information about several of the programs and documents mentioned in this Guidebook.

- Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance. The current version of this guidance document was developed in 2015 and can be found at:
- https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/103279

In addition, the individual grant programs each have specific websites per the following:

- Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)
- https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-grant-program
- Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC)
- https://www.fema.gov/bric
- Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)
- https://www.fema.gov/flood-mitigation-assistance-grant-program
- HMGP Post Fire Grant
- https://www.fema.gov/grants/mitigation/post-fire
- Fire Management Assistance Grant Program (FMAGP) -
- https://www.fema.gov/fire-management-assistance-grant-program
- Public Assistance (PA) Section 406 Hazard Mitigation Funding
- https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/184476

Note: These websites and reference materials are as current as possible. However, one important aspect of grant programs that is not just applicable to hazard mitigation or to government agencies, is that the status, priorities, and administration of funding sources and programs is dynamic, i.e., subject to frequent changes in direction and emphasis. Therefore, it is useful to be familiar with the current information, but it is equally, if not more important, to engage candidate federal and state agencies in a dialog as soon as possible. The intent is to determine the most current information about grant project status and priorities for inclusion in the evaluation of hazard mitigation projects and the development of implementation strategies.

On a related note, it is also recommended to include specific reference in plan maintenance procedures to the monitoring and updating of information regarding grant programs and the agencies or foundations that administer these grants.

There are also a number of documents that include a broad range of project types and how these have been implemented in communities across the country including: Mitigation Best Practices Portfolio https://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/risk/hazard-mitigation-planning/best-practices.